

Climate of the Ocean

Lecture 7: The Monash Simple Climate Model

Dr. Claudia Frauen Leibniz Institute for Baltic Sea Research Warnemünde (IOW) claudia.frauen@io-warnemuende.de



Outline:

- Motivation
- The GREB model
 - Processes:
 - Solar Radiation
 - Thermal Radiation
 - Hydrological Cycle
 - Sensible Heat
 - Subsurface Ocean
 - Sea Ice
 - Model boundary conditions / limitations
 - Model climate change prediction 'skill'



MOTIVATION



Climate response to IPCC scenario A1B CO₂ forcing





Climate response to IPCC scenario A1B CO₂ forcing

- Main features of the global response to CO₂ forcing:
 - Global mean warming by the end of 21th century is about 2.6°K.
 - There are strong regional differences, although CO₂ forcing is the same everywhere.
 - Land warms more than the ocean.
 - The Arctic has the strongest warming.
 - The Northern Hemisphere warms more than the Southern.
 - The winter (cold) season warms more than the summer (warm) season.



GCM vs. simple model

- GCMs are very complex:
 - ~100,000 lines of source code
 - Not easily possible to "switch off" single processes to study their influence on the climate system
- GCMs are very computing intensive:
 - Need for super computers
 - 100 year global warming simulation takes weeks
- Need for simple model to understand the main features of global warming!



THE GREB MODEL







• 96 x 48 grid points = 3.75° x 3.75°



Greb model sketch





THE GREB MODEL: PROCESSES



Solar radiation







Incoming solar radiation







Lecture 7: The Monash Simple Climate Model

ISCCP cloud cover [%]



Climate of the Ocean Lecture 7: The Monash Simple Climate Model surface albedo α_{surf}





Thermal radiation





Lecture 7: The Monash Simple Climate Model



A more detailed model of the thermal radiation balance in the atmosphere

 $\diamond Thermal radiation emitted from each layer is a function of emissivity <math display="inline">\epsilon$ and T.

 \diamond Thermal radiation absorbed from each layer is a function of the layers emissivity and all other layers ϵ and T.

 $\diamond \epsilon$ at each layer is a function of pressure, chemical composition (H₂O, CO₂) and cloud droplet density.

Emissivity of chemical components is a function of wave length

Layer 4 (ϵ_4 ,T₄) Layer 3 (ϵ_3 ,T₃) Layer 2 (ϵ_2 ,T₂) layer 1 (ϵ_1 ,T₁) Surface

♦Overall: ... its complicated!



Lecture 7: The Monash Simple Climate Model





GREB-model (greenhouse shield/ slab atmosphere model)

Surface:
$$-ST_{surf}^4 + \theta ST_{atmos}^4$$

Atmosphere: $-2eST_{atmos}^4 + eST_{surf}^4$



GREB emissivity function:

 $e_{atmos} = F(CO_2, H_2O_{vapor}, \text{cloud cover})$

Approach:

♦Assume saturation effect (a doubling of greenhouse gases does not double the greenhouse effect; see multi-layer greenhouse shield)

♦ log-function approximation (to simulate saturation effect; note, there is no fundamental physical law behind this log-function)

3 spectral bands (to simulate the overlap of absorption bands in H₂O and CO₂)

♦ Fit function to data (from more sophisticated radiation models; IPCC-type models)





 \diamond Water vapor has a strong effect on ϵ

♦The effect of water vapor is non-linear

♦The sensitivity to change in water vapor (slope) is bigger for small amounts of water vapor \diamond Clouds increase ϵ

♦Clouds dilute the effect of greenhouse gases

 $\diamond The sensitivity to CO_2 is bigger if water vapor is less$



Characteristics of the emissivity function:

- 1. Global mean emissivity $\varepsilon \approx 0.8$
- 2. $\Delta \epsilon$ (water-vapor -> 0) \approx 0.5. The largest part of emissivity is due to water vapor
- 3. Δε(CO₂ -> 0) ≈ 0.18
- 4. $\Delta \epsilon$ (cloud cover -> 0) \approx 0.16
- 5. $\epsilon(CO_2 = H_2O = cloud \ cover = 0) \approx 0$ No greenhouse gases and no clouds -> no emissivity.
- 6. $\epsilon(H_2O = 70 \text{kg/m}^2, \text{ cloud cover} = 1) \approx 1$ a very humid and fully cloud cover atmosphere has emissivity of about 1.
- 7. $\frac{3}{4}$ of the H₂O absorption is non-overlapping with CO₂ absorption bands
- 8. H_2O and CO_2 have about equal strength in spectral bands where they both absorb
- 9. CO₂ absorbs about equally strong in the two absorption bands
- 10. Clear sky sensitivity to greenhouse gases is about twice as strong as for completely cloud covered sky
- 11. $\Delta \epsilon (2xCO_2) \approx 0.02$. The change in emissivity due to doubling of CO₂, which follows from the IPCC models 3.8W/m2 additional thermal downward radiation.
- 12. $\Delta \epsilon (\Delta water-vapor) \approx 0.02$. It follows from the IPCC models



Hydrological cycle



Water Vapor Cycle

Latent Heating



Result of thermal radiation model:

1. We need a prognostic equation for T_{atmos}

$$\mathcal{G}_{atmos} \frac{dT_{atmos}}{dt} = F_{thermal} + \dots$$

2. We need a prognostic equation for
$$q_{atmos}$$
 $\frac{dq_{atmos}}{dt} = ...$

Hydrological cycle:

Lifetime of CO_2 in atmosphere: 10yrs - 10,000yrs -> is globally well mixed

Lifetime of H_2O in atmosphere: 10days (rain, weather) -> is not well mixed

$$\frac{dq_{surf}}{dt} = \Delta q_{eva} + \Delta q_{precip} + \dots$$

 q_{surf} = surface humidity

 Dq_{eva} = evaporation of water into the atmosphere

 Dq_{precip} = condensation and precipitation of water out of the atmosphere



<u>**Precipitation</u>**: It rains if water vapor in the atmosphere condensates and the droplets get big enough to fall to ground. Therefore the air must saturate with water vapor.</u>

<u>Saturation</u>: Air typically saturates with water vapor if air is lifted (vertical motion) and therefore adiabatically (by reducing pressure) cooled

-> Processes of the hydrological cycle are complex and are strongly controlled by weather fluctuations. They can not be '*simulated*' in the simple GREB model. But we have to find a simple approximation.



Precipitation

How to estimate rain in GREB?

 \diamond Roughly: it rains if there is atmos. water vapor

 \diamond Atmospheric water vapor stays in the atmosphere about 10 days

$$\Rightarrow \Delta q_{precip} = 0.1 \frac{1}{day} q_{atmos}$$

10% of the current atmos. water vapor will rain every day



Evaporation

Empirical bulk formula:

$$\Delta q_{eva} = \frac{1}{r_{H2O}} \cdot \rho_{air} \cdot C_w \cdot \left| \vec{u}_* \right| \cdot \upsilon_{soil} \cdot (q_{sat} - q_{atmos})$$

Saturated water vapor :
(following from Clausius-Clapeyron equation)

$$q_{sat} = e^{\frac{-z_{topo}}{h_{atmos}}} \times 3.75 \times 10^{-3} \times e^{e^{\frac{2}{5}17.1}\frac{T_{surf} - 273.15^{\circ}}{T_{surf} - 38.98\frac{1}{9}}}$$
Consider surface
pressure decrease
with altitude

$$r_{H2O}$$
 = regression parameter
 Γ_{air} = density of air at sea level
 C_w = empirical transfer coefficient over oceans
 $|\vec{u}_*|$ = effective wind speed
 U_{soil} = surface moisture [%]
 q_{sat} = saturated humidity [kg/kg]
 q_{atmos} = densithumidity [kg/kg]

Lecture 7: The Monash Simple Climate Model

Latent heat

$$F_{latent} = -L \cdot r_{H2O} \cdot \Delta q_{eva}$$

 $L = 230000 \times J/kg =$ latent heat of condensation $c_{h2o} \gg 4000 \times J/kg/K =$ specific heat of water

Note: this is a lot of heat if compared to the specific heat of water. Condensing water vapor to water (raining) releases more heat than is required to heat water by 500K!!

Latent heat atmosphere

$$F_{atmos-latent} = -L \cdot r_{H2O} \cdot \Delta q_{precip}$$
$$\triangleright F_{latent}^{1} - F_{atmos-latent}$$

The heat lost at the surface by evaporation is not the same as the one gained in the atmosphere by condensation, as there may be some transport of water vapor to or from other regions



Lecture 7: The Monash Simple Climate Model





Sensible heat and circulation

Simplification: Assume heat transport only in atmosphere (not in ocean, not in surface layer)

Sensible heat flux from atmosphere:

$$F_{sense} = C_{A-S} \times (T_{atmos} - T_{surf}) \qquad \qquad C_{A-S} = 22.5 \frac{W}{m^2} \times \frac{1}{K}$$

The turbulent heat exchange between surface and atmosphere is estimated by a *Newtonian damping*. The stronger the temperature difference the stronger the heat exchange

Sensible heat flux from deeper ocean:

$$Fo_{sense} = C_{O-S} \times (T_{ocean} - T_{surf}) \qquad \qquad C_{O-S} = 5 \frac{W}{m^2} \times \frac{1}{K}$$

The turbulent heat exchange with deeper ocean is much weaker due to the much weaker turbulence in the deeper ocean.





Atmospheric heat transport





Isotropic diffusion



$$\frac{F_{diffuse}}{\gamma_{atmos}} = \kappa \cdot \nabla^2 T$$

Heat transport by isotropic diffusion is strong if the 2. derivative of the temperature field is strong and the turbulence of the winds (kappa) is strong $k = 2 \times 10^5 \frac{m^2}{s}$

This defines the strength of turbulent winds (weather). It should erase any temperature maxima/minima in the atmosphere within days



Lecture 7: The Monash Simple Climate Model

Sensible heat and circulation









Ocean heat uptake

Simplification:

- \diamond No lateral heat transport in ocean
- ♦ Sensible heat flux (Newtonian damping)
- Entrainment by changes in mixed layer depth (MLD)
- $\diamond\,$ effective ocean heat capacity is proportional to MLD

$$Fo_{sense} = C_{O-S} \times (T_{ocean} - T_{surf}) \qquad C_{A-S} = 5 \frac{W}{m^2} \times \frac{1}{K}$$





$$\overbrace{\gamma_{atmos}}^{T_{atmos}} = F_{thermal} + F_{atmos-latent} - F_{sense} + \gamma_{atmos} \left(\kappa \cdot \nabla^2 T - \vec{u} \cdot \vec{\nabla} T \right)$$

ocea

$$g_{surf} \frac{dT_{surf}}{dt} = F_{solar} + F_{thermal} + F_{latent} + F_{sense} + F_{ocean}$$

 $\frac{dT_{ocean}}{dT_{ocean}} = \Delta T o_{entrain} - F o_{sense}$

Yocean

$$\frac{dq_{surf}}{dt} = \Delta q_{eva} + \Delta q_{precip} + \left(\kappa \cdot \nabla^2 q_{surf} - \vec{u} \cdot \vec{\nabla} q_{surf}\right)$$



Heat capacity

Atmosphere	$g_{atmos} = 5 \times 10^6 \times J/m^2/K$	About 5000m air column
Land	$\mathcal{G}_{surf} = 4 \times 10^6 \times J/m^2/K$	About 2m soil column
Ocean surface	$\mathcal{G}_{surf} \gg 200 \times 10^6 \times J/m^2/K$	In average 50m water column; large regional and seasonal differences depending on mixed layer depth
Subsurface ocean	$\mathcal{G}_{ocean} \gg 1000 \times 10^6 \times J/m^2/K$	About three times the max. mixed layer depth; strong regional differences. <i>Not</i> the whole ocean is mixed. Deep ocean is ignored!
Sea Ice	$\mathcal{G}_{surf} = 4 \times 10^6 \times J/m^2/K$	As for ice-albedo feedback, same ocean points are sometimes ice covered. Sea ice insolates the ocean from the atmosphere very well. -> heat capacity over ocean is function of temperature for below freezing values.



Heat capacity

Sea ice has a special feedback on the climate by changing the effective heat capacity





MODEL BOUNDARY CONDITIONS / LIMITATIONS



50

-50

100

200

time of year [days]

300

atitude [⁰]

GREB boundary conditions: external

(IPCC models will have similar boundary conditions)

topography

Incoming solar radiation

 $S_0 \times r(j, t_{julian})$

[W/m²] 500 400 300 200 100 0

400 800

Glacier mask



Large ice masses, as in Greenland will not melt completely within 100yrs, but take 1000yrs for melting. So we assume the albedo will not change over these glaciers.

Additionally, there are some physical constants for the climate system: e.g. surface air pressure, CO_2 concentration, etc.

1200 2000 3000 4000 5000



Lecture 7: The Monash Simple Climate Model

Cloud cover

ISCCP cloud cover [%]

WOCE ocean mixed layer depth [m]

GREB boundary conditions: internal

IPCC models will simulate these internal boundary conditions. Thus for an IPCC model these are not boundary conditions, but are computed by the state of the system with prognostic equations.

All given with seasonally changing climatology





GREB constraints

IPCC models will simulate these variables without artificial constraints



- The GREB model is too simple to produce a realistic climate state
- To keep GREB close to the observed climate state artificial heat fluxes are introduced to force the model to produce exactly the observed mean T_{surf} and mean q_{surf}



MODEL CLIMATE CHANGE PREDICTION 'SKILL'



Model performance







Climate response to IPCC scenario A1B CO₂ forcing

Main features of the global T_{surf} response to CO_2 forcing:

	IPCC	GREB	comment
Global mean	2.6°K	2.7°K	This is somewhat expected as we have fitted our emissivity function by the IPCC results
Land warms more than oceans	60% more	30% more	GREB is not warming enough over land
Polar amplification	By factor 2	By factor 1.3	GREB is not warming enough over Arctic sea
Northern Hemisphere warms more than the Southern	Yes	yes	GREB inter-hemispheric contrast is weaker
Cold season warms more	Yes	yes	-

<u>The GREB model is able to simulate the main IPCC T_{surf} response</u> <u>structures</u>



GREB response 'skill'





GREB global warming response cautionary note

- This is only a model, not the real world:
 - The GREB is a very simple, uncertain and highly tuned (to IPCC models not observations) model.
 - IPCC models will in many regions or seasons have different responses for different reasons.
 - The GREB model cannot say anything about how the *circulation in the atmosphere or ocean* responds. And it is likely that such responses will exist on the small scale (turbulence) and large scale (mean).
 - GREB models cannot say anything about how *cloud* cover or soil moisture responds.



More information:

• Go to

<u>https://monash.edu/research/simple-climate-</u> <u>model/mscm/overview_i18n.html?locale=EN</u>

• Scientific publication:

Dommenget D. and J. Flöter (2011): Conceptual understanding of climate change with a globally resolved energy balance model, Clim. Dyn. 37: 2143. doi:10.1007/s00382-011-1026-0